

1.6 Independent Sets versus Spanning Sets

The concepts of *Spans* and *independence* are two of the most important concepts in Linear Algebra.

We will see Theorems connecting Spans of sets of vectors, and linearly independent or dependent sets.

Equality of Spans

Theorem: Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, and $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{R}$ a list of n *non-zero scalars*. Let us form a new set: $S' = \{k_1\vec{v}_1, k_2\vec{v}_2, \dots, k_n\vec{v}_n\}$. Then: $\text{Span}(S) = \text{Span}(S')$.

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\vec{v}_n \\ = & c_1 \frac{k_1}{k_1} \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \frac{k_2}{k_2} \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n \frac{k_n}{k_n} \vec{v}_n \\ = & \frac{c_1}{k_1} (k_1\vec{v}_1) + \frac{c_2}{k_2} (k_2\vec{v}_2) + \cdots + \frac{c_n}{k_n} (k_n\vec{v}_n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1(k_1\vec{v}_1) + c_2(k_2\vec{v}_2) + \cdots + c_n(k_n\vec{v}_n) \\ = & (c_1k_1)\vec{v}_1 + (c_2k_2)\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + (c_nk_n)\vec{v}_n, \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle 3, -2, 5, 7, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, -5, 3, 6, 0 \rangle, \\ \langle -1, 0, 4, -3, 2 \rangle \end{array} \right\}$$

$$S' = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle 6, -15, 9, 18, 0 \rangle, \langle -5, 0, 20, -15, 10 \rangle, \\ \langle -6, 4, -10, -14, -8 \rangle \end{array} \right\}$$

Is $\text{Span}(S) = \text{Span}(S')$?

Theorem — The Equality of Spans Theorem:

Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ and $S' = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ be two sets of vectors from some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k . Then: $\text{Span}(S) = \text{Span}(S')$ *if and only if* every \vec{v}_i can be written as a linear combination of the \vec{w}_1 through \vec{w}_m , *and* every \vec{w}_j can also be written as a linear combination of the \vec{v}_1 through \vec{v}_n .

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) $\text{Span}(\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\})$ includes $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ themselves.

(\Leftarrow) Now, suppose that every \vec{v}_i can be written as a linear combination of the \vec{w}_1 through \vec{w}_m , *and* every \vec{w}_j can also be written as a linear combination of the \vec{v}_1 through \vec{v}_n .

Think of the linear combination:

$$c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\vec{v}_n.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{v}_1 &= a_{1,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{1,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{1,m}\vec{w}_m, \\ \vec{v}_2 &= a_{2,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{2,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{2,m}\vec{w}_m, \dots\dots \\ \vec{v}_n &= a_{n,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{n,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{n,m}\vec{w}_m,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}& c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\vec{v}_n \\ &= c_1(a_{1,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{1,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{1,m}\vec{w}_m) + \\ & \quad c_2(a_{2,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{2,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{2,m}\vec{w}_m) + \cdots + \\ & \quad c_n(a_{n,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{n,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{n,m}\vec{w}_m).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}& c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\vec{v}_n \\ &= c_1a_{1,1}\vec{w}_1 + c_1a_{1,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + c_1a_{1,m}\vec{w}_m + \\ & \quad c_2a_{2,1}\vec{w}_1 + c_2a_{2,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + c_2a_{2,m}\vec{w}_m + \cdots + \\ & \quad c_na_{n,1}\vec{w}_1 + c_na_{n,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + c_na_{n,m}\vec{w}_m \\ &= (c_1a_{1,1} + c_2a_{2,1} + \cdots + c_na_{n,1})\vec{w}_1 + \\ & \quad (c_1a_{1,2} + c_2a_{2,2} + \cdots + c_na_{n,2})\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + \\ & \quad (c_1a_{1,m} + c_2a_{2,m} + \cdots + c_na_{n,m})\vec{w}_m.\end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\text{Span}(\{\langle 3, -5, 2, -4 \rangle, \langle 2, -4, 1, -2 \rangle\})$$

vs.

$$\text{Span}(\langle 8, -14, 5, -10 \rangle, \langle -4, 14, 1, -2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3, 3, -6 \rangle).$$

Theorem — The Elimination Theorem:

Suppose that $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a *linearly dependent* set of vectors from \mathbb{R}^m , and $\vec{v}_n = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_{n-1}\vec{v}_{n-1}$. Then:

$$\text{Span}(S) = \text{Span}(S - \{\vec{v}_n\}).$$

In other words, we can *eliminate* \vec{v}_n from S and still maintain the *same Span*.

More generally, if $c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}_m$, where *none* of the coefficients in this dependence equation is 0, then:

$$\text{Span}(S) = \text{Span}(S - \{\vec{v}_i\}),$$

for all $i = 1..n$.

Example: Let:

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle 3, 3, 5, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 3, 2, 0, -1, 6 \rangle, \\ \langle 12, 12, 20, 8, 16 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 5, 3, -2 \rangle \end{array} \right\},$$

and let us call these vectors \vec{v}_1 , \vec{v}_2 , \vec{v}_3 , and \vec{v}_4 , in that order.

Theorem — The Minimizing Theorem:

Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be a set of vectors from \mathbb{R}^m , and let $A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \dots \ \vec{v}_n]$ be the $m \times n$ matrix with $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ as its *columns*.

Suppose that R is the rref of A , and i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k are the columns of R that contain the *leading variables*. Then the set $S' = \{\vec{v}_{i_1}, \vec{v}_{i_2}, \dots, \vec{v}_{i_k}\}$, that is, the subset of vectors of S consisting of the corresponding columns of A , is a *linearly independent* set, and:

$$\text{Span}(S) = \text{Span}(S').$$

Furthermore, every $\vec{v}_i \in S - S'$, that is, the vectors of S corresponding to the *free variables* of R , can be expressed as linear combinations of the vectors of S' , using the *coefficients* found in the corresponding column of R .

Idea:

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle 7, 4, -3, 11 \rangle, \langle 2, -1, -1, 2 \rangle, \langle 31, 22, -13, 51 \rangle, \\ \langle 5, -2, 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 17, 12, -21, 29 \rangle \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 31 & 5 & 17 \\ 4 & -1 & 22 & -2 & 12 \\ -3 & -1 & -13 & 1 & -21 \\ 11 & 2 & 51 & 5 & 29 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem — The Dependent vs. Spanning Sets Theorem:

Suppose we have a set of n vectors:

$$S = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_n\},$$

from some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k , and we form $\text{Span}(S)$. Suppose now we randomly choose a set of m vectors from $\text{Span}(S)$ to form a new set:

$$L = \{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_m\}.$$

We can now conclude that if $m > n$, then L is automatically linearly *dependent*.

In other words, if we chose *more* vectors from $\text{Span}(S)$ than the number of vectors we used to *generate* S , then this new set will certainly be *dependent*.

Proof:

$$\vec{u}_1 = a_{1,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{1,2}\vec{w}_2 + \dots + a_{1,n}\vec{w}_n,$$

$$\vec{u}_2 = a_{2,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{2,2}\vec{w}_2 + \dots + a_{2,n}\vec{w}_n, \dots \dots$$

$$\vec{u}_m = a_{m,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{m,2}\vec{w}_2 + \dots + a_{m,n}\vec{w}_n.$$

$$c_1\vec{u}_1 + c_2\vec{u}_2 + \dots + c_m\vec{u}_m = \vec{0}_k.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{0}_k &= c_1(a_{1,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{1,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}\vec{w}_n) + \\
&\quad c_2(a_{2,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{2,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}\vec{w}_n) + \cdots + \\
&\quad c_m(a_{m,1}\vec{w}_1 + a_{m,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + a_{m,n}\vec{w}_n) \\
&= c_1a_{1,1}\vec{w}_1 + c_1a_{1,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + c_1a_{1,n}\vec{w}_n + \\
&\quad c_2a_{2,1}\vec{w}_1 + c_2a_{2,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + c_2a_{2,n}\vec{w}_n + \cdots + \\
&\quad c_ma_{m,1}\vec{w}_1 + c_ma_{m,2}\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + c_ma_{m,n}\vec{w}_n \\
&= (c_1a_{1,1} + c_2a_{2,1} + \cdots + c_ma_{m,1})\vec{w}_1 + \\
&\quad (c_1a_{1,2} + c_2a_{2,2} + \cdots + c_ma_{m,2})\vec{w}_2 + \cdots + \\
&\quad (c_1a_{1,n} + c_2a_{2,n} + \cdots + c_ma_{m,n})\vec{w}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can *force* a solution if we set *all* of the coefficients of the vectors \vec{w}_1 through \vec{w}_n to be zero:

$$c_1 a_{1,1} + c_2 a_{2,1} + \cdots + c_m a_{m,1} = 0,$$

$$c_1 a_{1,2} + c_2 a_{2,2} + \cdots + c_m a_{m,2} = 0, \dots \dots \text{ and}$$

$$c_1 a_{1,n} + c_2 a_{2,n} + \cdots + c_m a_{m,n} = 0.$$

Theorem — The Independent vs. Spanning Sets Theorem:

Suppose we have a set of n vectors $S = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ from some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k , and we form $\text{Span}(S)$.

Suppose now we randomly choose a set of m vectors from $\text{Span}(S)$ to form a new set:

$$L = \{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_m\}.$$

We can now conclude that if L is *independent*, then $m \leq n$.

Theorem — The Extension Theorem:

Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be a *linearly independent* set of vectors from \mathbb{R}^m , and suppose \vec{v}_{n+1} is *not* a member of $\text{Span}(S)$. Then, the extended set:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= S \cup \{\vec{v}_{n+1}\} \\ &= \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_{n+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

is *still linearly independent*.