

2.8

Thm One Sided Inverse is Sufficient

A is invertible iff $\exists B_{n \times n}$ st
 $A * B = I_n$ OR $B * A = I_n$

Pf (\Rightarrow) \checkmark

(\Leftarrow) Assume $A * B = I_n$.
Assume $\exists B$,
NIS A is invertible:
① T associated to A
is 1-1
② T onto.

Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be LO associated to A :

$$T(\vec{v}) = A\vec{v}.$$

Let $S: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be LO associated to B :

$$S(\vec{v}) = B\vec{v}$$

Then $T \circ S = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$:

Because: $\forall \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$(T \circ S)(\vec{v}) = T(S(\vec{v}))$$

$$= T(B\vec{v})$$

$$= A(B\vec{v})$$

$$= (A * B)\vec{v}$$

$$= I_n \vec{v}$$

$$= \vec{v}$$

(by assumption)

(Note: really 'interesting' argument:

$$T \circ S = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \implies T \text{ is onto \& 1-1})$$

• T is onto: let $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ NTS: $\exists \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $T(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}$.

We know:

$$\vec{w} = (T \circ S)(\vec{w})$$

$$\text{(b/c } T \circ S = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

$$= T(\underbrace{S(\vec{w})})$$

let $\vec{v} = S(\vec{w})$ so $\vec{w} = T(\vec{v})$. So T onto \checkmark

• T is 1-1:

By Dimension Theorem;

$$\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = n$$

Since T is onto, $\text{rank}(T) = n$ (b/c $\text{Range}(T) = \mathbb{R}^n$)

Thus, $\text{nullity}(T) = n - \text{rank}(T) = n - n = 0$.

This says $\dim(\ker(T)) = 0 \Rightarrow \ker(T) = \{ \vec{0} \}$.

Thus, T is 1-1.

• Since T is onto & 1-1, T is invertible
hence A is invertible ($A = [T]$).

• Finally, we can now prove that $B = A^{-1}$:

$$B = I_n * B$$

$$= (A^{-1} * A) * B$$

(since A is invertible)
we know $\exists A^{-1}$

$$= A^{-1} * (A * B)$$

(Ass.)

$$= A^{-1} * I_n$$

(by assumption)

$$= A^{-1}$$

(Prop of I_n)

so $B = A^{-1}$.

so get for free $B * A = I_n$.

□

Thm If T_1 & T_2 LOs on \mathbb{R}^n

Then $T_2 \circ T_1$ (& $T_1 \circ T_2$) is invertible

&

$$[T_2 \circ T_1]^{-1} = [T_1]^{-1} * [T_2]^{-1}$$

Pf We already know:

$$[T_2 \circ T_1] = [T_2] * [T_1].$$

so if the inverse exists, call it B , then it must satisfy:

$$\underline{B * [T_2 \circ T_1] = I_n} \quad \& \quad \underline{[T_2 \circ T_1] * B = I_n}$$

But T_1 & T_2 are 'invertible, so $[T_1]^{-1}$, $[T_2]^{-1}$ exist.

Observe then:

$$[T_1]^{-1} * [T_2]^{-1} * [T_2 \circ T_1]$$

$$= [T_1]^{-1} * \underbrace{([T_2]^{-1} * [T_2])}_{I_n} * [T_1] \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{By Big Result} \\ \text{on Compositions} \\ \& \text{Ass. prop} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= [T_1]^{-1} * I_n * [T_1]$$

$$= \underbrace{[T_1]^{-1} * [T_1]}_{I_n}$$

$$= I_n.$$

So $[T_2 \circ T_1]^{-1} = [T_1]^{-1} * [T_2]^{-1}$ by One-sided

Inverses is Enough Theorem. Consequently, $T_2 \circ T_1$

is invertible, □